

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

DMITRI HENDERSON,)	
)	CASE NO. 4:19CV947
Petitioner,)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
v.)	
WARDEN MARK WILLIAMS,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OPINION AND</u>
Respondent.)	<u>ORDER</u> [Resolving ECF No. 9]

Pro se petitioner Dmitri Henderson, confined at FCI Elkton, filed the above-captioned habeas corpus action under [28 U.S.C. § 2241](#). He requests “relief in the form of removing all holds, including medical[,] none of which are valid and are unlawfully detaining petitioner in custody.” [ECF No. 1 at PageID #: 1](#).

In response, the Government moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. [ECF No. 9 at PageID #: 22](#). Following the filing of the habeas petition, Petitioner’s medical hold was lifted. *Id.* Petitioner is set for placement in a halfway house on August 6, 2019. [ECF No. 9-1 at PageID #: 25](#). Because Petitioner has received the relief requested in his petition, the case is moot. *See Berger v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bar Ass’n*, 983 F.2d 718, 724 (6th Cir. 1993) (“Mootness results when events occur during the pendency of the litigation which render the court unable to grant the requested relief.”) (quotation omitted). The Court therefore lacks jurisdiction over Petitioner’s claim. *See id.*

(4:19CV947)

Accordingly, the Government's motion to dismiss is granted for lack of jurisdiction. [ECF No. 9.](#)

The Court certifies, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\); Fed. R. App. P. 22\(b\).](#)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 5, 2019
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge